

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Bez 1459 Alexandra, Vaginia 22313-1450 www.urpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	T.	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/030,531 04/04/2002		04/04/2002	Tae-Song Kim	P/ 2292-51	4150
2352	7590	07/08/2003			
		ER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
NEW YOR	ENUE OF THE AMERICAS PRK, NY 100368403			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>					
	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	10/030,531	KIM ET AL.					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in					
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>four</u> months from the mailin	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Olimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF</li> </ol>							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:						
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NOT place the					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims v</li> </ol>							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  □ .  Other:	(-)(						
One of the original ori		catil					
		Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendments raise new issues in that they introduce new limitations (e.g. new temperature ranges in claim 1) and delete previously claimed limitations (e.g. deleting spinning step from claim 1).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: most of the remarks are directed to limitations which have not been entered because they introduce new issues. Others are directed to one reference only while the art rejections are based on a plurality of references..